arXiv:1207.6948v2 [hep-th] 13 Aug 2012

WITS-CTP-101

Nonplanar Integrability and Parity in ABJ Theory

Badr Awad Elseid Mohammed[1,2]

[1] *National Institute for Theoretical Physics ,*
Department of Physics and Centre for Theoretical Physics
University of Witwatersrand, Wits, 2050,
South Africa
[2] *Department of Physics,*
Sudan University of Science and Technology
407, Sudan

e-mail: bmohamme@ictp.it

Abstract

In this article we study the action of the non-planar two-loop dilatation operator in an $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ sub-sector of the ABJ Chern-Simons-matter theory. The gauge invariant operators we consider are the restricted Schur polynomials. As in ABJM theory, there is a limit in which the spectrum reduces to a set of decoupled harmonic oscillators, indicating integrability in the large M and N double limit of the theory. We then consider parity transformations on the gauge invariant operators. In this case the non-planar anomalous dimensions break parity invariance. Our analysis shows that $(M - N)$ is related to the holonomy in the string theory, confirming one of the main features of the theory and its string dual. Furthermore, in the limit where ABJ theory reduces to ABJM theory, parity invariance is restored.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 ABJ Dilatation Operator **2**

3 Parity Operation **4**

4 Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions **4**

5 Conclusion **6**

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1] claims a duality between string theory or M-theory on the negatively curved background of anti de Sitter space in $(d+1)$-dimensions (AdS_{d+1}) and a conformal field theory living on the boundary of this space, which is d-dimensional Minkowski space. The first example of such a correpondence is the duality between $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills (SYM) theory in 4-dimensions and type IIB superstring theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Recently, new dualities were found, in which $\mathcal{N}=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory in 3-dimensions is dual to type IIA superstring theory on $AdS_4 \times CP^3$. The first example involves ABJM theory with gauge group $U(N)_k \times \overline{U(N)}_{-k}$, where k denotes the Chern-Simons level [2]. This was then generalized to gauge group $U(M)_k \times \overline{U(N)}_{-k}$ giving the ABJ theory [3]. One consequence of these dualities is a relation between the energy of string states and the conformal dimension of the dual operators. The conformal dimension is given by the eigenvalue of the dilatation operator of the CFT side. Therefore a good test of these dualities is to compute the action of the dilatation operator on a gauge invariant operator in the CFT and compare this to energies of states in the string theory. Further, there are good reasons to hope that the dilatation operator maps onto the Hamiltonian of an integrable system [4, 6].

A system is integrable if it has one conserved quantity for each degree of freedom. It allows one to find the exact spectrum of the dilatation operator. The discovery of integrability in $\mathcal{N}=4\,SYM$ and ABJM theories [4, 5, 6] in the planar limit has allowed dramatic progress. It is thus natural to look for integrability in the non-planar limit. Non-planar integrability may be important when we study the quantum dynamics of string theory.

A new technique from the representation theory of symmetric and unitary groups [7, 8, 9] has been used to compute the dilatation operator of $\mathcal{N}=4$ super-Yang-Mills theory on restricted Schur polynomials in a large N but non-planar limit at one loop [10, 11, 12, 13] and at two loops [14]. The results of this work demonstrated integrability in a large N but non-planar limit. Motivated by these results, the action of the two loop dilatation operator of ABJM theory in its $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ sector was studied [15] suggesting non-planar integrability at two loops. In contrast to this, the spin chains technique for ABJM did not find any sign of non-planar integrability [16]. The non-planar diagrams we sum are very different to[16, 17]. The non-planar diagrams summed in [16, 17] are correcting the large N limit. The non-planar diagrams we sum contribute already to the leading term in the large N limit.

ABJ theory manifests parity violation in the supersymmetric gauge theory and its dual string theory. In the field theory side, parity takes $U(M)_k \times \overline{U(N)}_{-k}$ to $U(N)_k \times \overline{U(M)}_{-k}$ while in string theory side parity violation is encoded into the non-trivial holonomy b_2 on $CP^1 \subset CP^3$ with a background NS B-field $\mathcal{B}_2$ given by

$$b_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{CP^1 \subset CP^3} \mathcal{B}_2 = \frac{M-N}{k}. \tag{1.1}$$

In contrast to ABJM theory which has constant holonomly, ABJ theory has $(M-N)$ holonomy dependence in its string dual[1]. This holonomy breaks parity for $M \neq N$. Therefore, to test the conjectured duality in ABJ theory, it is interesting to examine the spectrum of operators in this theory in the double 't Hooft limit and to check whether $(M-N)$ can be related to a B field. This test has been done in [17] using the spin chain representation. However, no indication was found for ABJ theory to be integrable in the non-planar limit.

In this paper we study the two loop non-planar anomalous dimensions of restricted Schur polynomials in the ABJ theory and explicitly demonstrate the theory enjoys non-planar integrability and exhibits the expected parity-breaking effect. In section 2, we compute the action of non-planar two loop ABJ dilatation operator on restricted Schur polynomials. The parity operation is considered in section 3. We analyse the spectrum of the anomalous dimensions in section 4. Finally section 5 contains our conclusion.

2 ABJ Dilatation Operator

ABJ theory is a three-dimensional $\mathcal{N}=6$ superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theory with gauge group $U(M)_k \times \overline{U(N)}_{-k}$ and R-symmetry group $SU(4)$. In this case the bifundamental scalar fields A and B transform as

$$A \to A' = U(M)\, A \overline{U(N)}^{\dagger},$$

$$B \to B' = U(M)\, B \overline{U(N)}^{\dagger}.$$

Therefore all traces constructed from the pairs $AB^{\dagger}$ preserve the gauge group $U(M)_k \times \overline{U(N)}_{-k}$.

The ABJ dilatation operator is closely related to the ABJM one, since both of them come from the F-terms of the bosonic potential. The key difference is in their coupling constants. In ABJM theory the coupling constant is $\left(\frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^2$ while in ABJ theory it is $\left(\frac{\lambda}{N}\right)\left(\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{M}\right)$ where $\lambda = \frac{4\pi N}{k}$ and $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{4\pi M}{k}$. Therefore in ABJ theory we have a double 't Hooft limit that is given by

$$N, M \to \infty, \quad k \to \infty, \quad \lambda, \hat{\lambda} \text{ fixed.}$$

The dilatation operator of ABJ theory has a closed action on the $SU(2)\times SU(2)$ subsector built with one type of excitation field B_2 [17]. On this sector the dilatation operator is

---

[1] More precisely, ABJM has holonomy $b_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ while ABJ has $b_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{M-N}{k}$.

$$D=\left(V_F^{bos}\right)^{eff}=-\frac{\lambda}{N}\frac{\hat{\lambda}}{M}:\mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(\mathrm{B}_2^{\dagger}\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{B}_1^{\dagger}-\mathrm{B}_1^{\dagger}\mathrm{A}_1\mathrm{B}_2^{\dagger}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{B}_2^{\dagger}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{A}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{B}_1^{\dagger}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{B}_1^{\dagger}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{A}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\mathrm{B}_2^{\dagger}}\right)\right]:,\tag{2.1}$$

where A_1 and $B_1^{\dagger}$ are the "background" fields, and :: means that all the fields in (2.1) should not be self-contracted.

Like the ABJM case, we will study the action of dilatation operator (2.1) on the gauge invariant operators built from restricted Schur polynomials for the gauge group $U(M)_k\times\overline{U(N)_{-k}}$. With one type of "excitation" $B_2^{\dagger}$ these polynomials are

$$O_{R,\{r\}}=\frac{1}{\prod_{ij}n_{ij}!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\mathrm{Tr}_{\{r\}}\left(\Gamma_R(\sigma)\right)\prod_{j=1}^{m_2}\left(A_1B_2^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(j)}^{a_j}\prod_{i=m_2+1}^{n}\left(A_1B_1^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(i)}^{a_i},\tag{2.2}$$

where the label R specifies an irreducible representation "*irrep*" of the symmetric group S_n and $\{r\}\equiv\{r_{12},r_{11}\}$ is an irreducible representation of $S_{n_{12}}\times S_{n_{11}}\subset S_n$. We have $n_{12}+n_{11}=n$.

In this expression $m_2=n_{12}$ is the number of $A_1B_2^{\dagger}$ pairs and $m_1=n_{11}$ is the number of $A_1B_1^{\dagger}$ pairs. Since the number of background fields are much greater than the number of excitation field, then we have $m_2\ll m_1$.

The two point function of operators with one type of excitation field B_2 is [15]

$$\left\langle O_{R,\{r\}}O_{S,\{s\}}^{\dagger}\right\rangle=\delta_{RS}\delta_{\{r\},\{s\}}\frac{\mathrm{hooks_R}\left(f_R\right)^2}{\mathrm{hooks}_{r_{11}}\mathrm{hooks}_{r_{12}}}.$$

In this case, the operator $O_{R,\{r\}}$ is related to the normalized operator $\widehat{O}_{R,\{r\}}$ as

$$O_{R,\{r\}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{hooks_R}f_R}{\mathrm{hooks}_{r_{11}}\mathrm{hooks}_{r_{12}}}}\widehat{O}_{R,\{r\}}.$$

Before we study the action of dilatation operator on (2.2), it is important to point out that in ABJ theory both A and B are matrix fields, and hence we have the following index structure

$$\left(A_kB_l^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(i)}^{a_i}=\left(A_k\right)_{\alpha}^{a_i}\left(B_l^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(i)}^{\alpha},\qquad\alpha=1,2,...,M,\tag{2.3}$$

where k, $l\in\{1,2\}$.

The result of acting with (2.1) on (2.2) is similar to the result obtained in [15]. The only difference is in the contraction δ_{α}^{α} which gives M instead of N . The result is thus

$$DO_{R,\{r\}}=\sum_{S,\{s\}}M_{R,\{r\};S,\{s\}}O_{S,\{s\}}\tag{2.4}$$

where

$$M_{R,\{r\};S,\{s\}}=\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2\sum_{R'}\frac{m_1m_2c_{RR'}d_S}{d_{s_{11}}d_{s_{12}}nd_{R'}}\left[M\mathrm{Tr}\left(I_{S'R'}\left[\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+1)\right),P_{R,\{s\}}\right]I_{R'S'}C\right)+\right.$$

$$+\mathrm{Tr}\left(I_{S'R'}\left[\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+1)\right)P_{R,\{s\}}\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+1)\right)-P_{R,\{s\}}\right]I_{R'S'}C\right)+$$
$$+\left(m_1-1\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left(I_{S'R'}\left[\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+2)\right)P_{R,\{s\}}\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+2)\right)\right]I_{R'S'}C\right)$$
$$-\left(m_1-1\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left(I_{S'R'}\left[\Gamma_S\left((m_2+2,1,m_2+1)\right)P_{R,\{s\}}\right]I_{R'S'}C\right)+$$
$$\left.+\left(m_2-1\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left(I_{S'R'}\left[\Gamma_R\left((1,m_2+1)\right)P_{R,\{s\}}\Gamma_R\left((1,2)\right)-P_{R,\{s\}}\Gamma_S\left((2,1,m_2+1)\right)\right]I_{R'S'}C\right)\right]$$

and

$$C=\left[P_{R,\{s\}},\Gamma_S\left((1,m_2+1)\right)\right].$$

3 Parity Operation

The action of the parity operator introduced in [17], on the trace operator, inverts the order of the fields inside each of its traces, i.e.

$$\hat{P}\;:\;\mathrm{Tr}\left(A^{a_1}B_{b_1}...A^{a_l}B_{b_l}\right)\to\mathrm{Tr}\left(B_{b_l}A^{a_l}...B_{b_1}A^{a_1}\right),$$

where $a_i, b_i \in 1, 2$. In this way, acting with the parity operation on restricted Schur polynomial (2.2) leads to

$$\hat{P}O_{R,\{r\}}=\frac{1}{\prod_{ij}n_{ij}!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n}\mathrm{Tr}_{\{r\}}\left(\Gamma_R\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)\right)\prod_{j=1}^{m_2}\left(A_1B_2^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(j)}^{a_j}\prod_{i=m_2+1}^{n}\left(A_1B_1^{\dagger}\right)_{a\sigma(i)}^{a_i}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, parity changes σ to σ^{-1}. It is worth mentioning that the action of dilatation operator (2.1) on (3.1) produces similar result as in (2.4).
The action of three-dimensional parity P takes the $U(N+l)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ superconformal theory with $M-N=l$ to the $U(N)_k \times U(N+l)_{-k}$ theory [3]. This means that parity flips the levels of the Chern-Simons terms, and consequently produces a different theory. In this case, the action of (2.1) on a $U(N)_k \times U(N+l)_{-k}$ gauge invariant operator of the form (2.2) produces the same result as (2.4) except the fact that M changes to N.

4 Spectrum of Anomalous Dimensions

In ABJ theory, the spectrum of anomalous dimensions is similar to the ABJM case [15], the only difference is the sum over the dummy indices gives M. The irrep r_{11} is obtained from R by pulling ν_1 and ν_2 boxes from the first and the second row of R respectively. Since we know that the removed boxes ν_1 and ν_2 form the irrep r_{12} with $\nu_1+\nu_2=m_2$, it is enough to specify $\nu_1-\nu_2\equiv 2j^3$. Specify the irrep r_{11} by b_0 and b_1 with $2b_0+b_1=m_1$ where we consider $m_1 \gg m_2$. Denote the number of boxes in the first row of r_{12} minus the number of boxes in the second row by $2j$. The number of boxes in the second row of r_{12} is thus $\frac{m_2-2j}{2}$. The computation of (2.4) gives

$$D\hat{O}_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)=\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2\left[\left(-\frac{M}{2}\left(m_2-\frac{\left(m_2+2\right)\left(j^3\right)^2}{j\left(j+1\right)}\right)\right.\right.$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{m_2^2}{4}+m_2+j_3^2-j\left(j+1\right)-\frac{j_3^2\left(4-m_2^2\right)^2}{4j\left(j+1\right)}\Bigg)\triangle\hat{O}_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\\
&+M\sqrt{\frac{\left(m_2+2j+4\right)\left(m_2-2j\right)}{\left(2j+1\right)\left(2j+3\right)}}\frac{\left(j+j^3+1\right)\left(j-j^3+1\right)}{2\left(j+1\right)}\left(1+\frac{m_2-2j-4}{2N}\right)\triangle\hat{O}_{j+1,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\\
&+M\sqrt{\frac{\left(m_2+2j+2\right)\left(m_2-2j+2\right)}{\left(2j+1\right)\left(2j+3\right)}}\frac{\left(j+j^3\right)\left(j-j^3\right)}{2j}\left(1+\frac{m_2-2j-2}{2N}\right)\triangle\hat{O}_{j-1,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\Bigg],
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

this is the same as [15] except $N\to M$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle O_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)=&\sqrt{\left(M+b_0\right)\left(M+b_0+b_1\right)}\left(O_{j,j^3}\left(b_0-1,b_1+2\right)+O_{j,j^3}\left(b_0+1,b_1-2\right)\right)\\
&-\left(2M+2b_0+b_1\right)O\left(b_0,b_1\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

The combination $\triangle O_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)$ in (4.2) is exactly the same as the one obtained in the case of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory. Furthermore, the label j in (4.2) implies the possibility of diagonalising (4.1). We note that the spectrum of anomalous dimension in (4.1) is different from the result obtained in [11], therefore it is not clear how to obtain a direct diagonalisation of (4.1). However, in the double limit

$$M,\,N\to\infty,\qquad\frac{m_2}{M},\frac{m_2}{N}\ll1,$$

(4.1) reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\hat{O}_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)=&\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2\left[\left(-\frac{M}{2}\left(m_2-\frac{\left(m_2+2\right)\left(j^3\right)^2}{j\left(j+1\right)}\right)\right)\triangle\hat{O}_{j,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\right.\\
&+M\sqrt{\frac{\left(m_2+2j+4\right)\left(m_2-2j\right)}{\left(2j+1\right)\left(2j+3\right)}}\frac{\left(j+j^3+1\right)\left(j-j^3+1\right)}{2\left(j+1\right)}\triangle\hat{O}_{j+1,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\\
&\left.+M\sqrt{\frac{\left(m_2+2j+2\right)\left(m_2-2j+2\right)}{\left(2j+1\right)\left(2j+3\right)}}\frac{\left(j+j^3\right)\left(j-j^3\right)}{2j}\triangle\hat{O}_{j-1,j^3}\left(b_0,b_1\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3}
$$

which is similar to the result of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory multiplied by M. In this context the continuum limit in ABJ theory reduces to the action of the dilatation operator studied in [15] from which we obtain a set of oscillators with frequency ω_i and degeneracy d_i given by the following two cases

1 If $m_2=2n$

The theory with gauge group $U(M)_k\times U(N)_{-k}$ gives

$$\omega_i=8iM\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2,\quad d_i=2\left(n-i\right)+1,\quad i=0,1,...,n.$$

The theory with gauge group $U(N)_k\times U(M)_{-k}$ gives

$$\omega_i=8iN\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2,\quad d_i=2\left(n-i\right)+1,\quad i=0,1,...,n.$$

2 If $m_2 = 2n+1$

The theory with gauge group $U(M)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ gives

$$\omega_i = 8iM\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2, \quad d_i = 2\left(n-i+1\right), \quad i = 0,1,...,n.$$

The theory with gauge group $U(N)_k \times U(M)_{-k}$ gives

$$\omega_i = 8iN\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)^2, \quad d_i = 2\left(n-i+1\right), \quad i = 0,1,...,n.$$

Since $U(M)_k \times U(N)_{-k}$ and $U(N)_k \times U(M)_{-k}$ Chern-Simons theories are related through the parity transformation, from the spectrum of the dilatation operator of these theories, we observe the following

$$\left[D^{ABJ}_{non-planar}, P\right] \propto (M-N)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Recall that $M - N = l$, then

$$U\left(M\right)_k \times \overline{U\left(N\right)}_{-k} \rightarrow U\left(N+l\right)_k \times \overline{U\left(N\right)}_{-k},$$

$$\left[D^{ABJ}_{non-planar}, P\right] \propto l.$$

Similar analysis for the theory with gauge group $U\left(N\right)_k \times \overline{U\left(N+k-l\right)}_{-k}$ gives

$$\left[D^{ABJ}_{non-planar}, P\right] \propto (k-l)\,. \tag{4.5}$$

From type IIA string theory in [3], the theory with holonomy $b_2 = l/k$ is related to the theory with holonomy $b_2 = \left(k-l\right)/k$ by a parity transformation, this correspond in the field theory side that the theory with $U\left(N+l\right)_k \times \overline{U\left(N\right)}_{-k}$ is equivalent to the $U\left(N\right)_k \times \overline{U\left(N+k-l\right)}_{-k}$ theory. Indeed this is the case in (4.4) and (4.5) provided that $\left[D^{ABJ}_{non-planar}, P\right] \propto \mathcal{B}_2$.

5 Conclusion

We have studied the action of nonplanar dilatation operator for ABJ theory at two loops on operators built from restricted Schur polynomials. The spectrum of the anomalous dimensions signals nonplanar integrability. Our analysis shows that the ABJ theory breaks parity invariance. This is in contrast to the planar two loop dilatation generator which was found to be parity invariant. When ABJ theory reduces to ABJM theory, parity invariance is recovered as expected. In this analysis we note that parity breaking does not destroy integrability. Furthermore, in the field theory, we have found that $(M-N)$ is related to the holonomy $\mathcal{B}_2$ of the string theory side. We have considered the case where irreducible representation R of the gauge invariant operator (2.2) is a Young diagram with two long rows. In this case our operators have a dimension of order $O(N)$. We have solved (4.3) within the continuum limit approximation.

The exact solution of (2.4) in other limits may also reveal whether the ABJ theory remains integrable or not. another direction to extend this work is to study the action of dilatation operator on operators of order $O(N^2)$. This requires our representation R to be Young diagram with long rows and long columns. This direction will enrich the AdS/CFT dictionary. However, studying the action of dilatation operators of both $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory and ABJ(M) theories for operators of order $O(N^2)$ remains a challenge.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor, professor Robert de Mello Koch for introducing this problem and for enjoyable, helpful discussions. This work is supported by the German Academic Exchange Services DAAD and by the South African Research Chairs Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:9711200 [hep-th]].

[2] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, "N=6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals," JHEP 0810, 091 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1218 [hep-th]].

[3] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, "Fractional M2-branes," JHEP 0811 (2008) 043[arXive:0807.4924 [hep-th]].

[4] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe-ansatz for N = 4 super Yang-Mills," JHEP 0303, 013 (2003) [arXiv:0212208 [hep-th]]; N. Beisert et al, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview," Lett. Math. Phys. 99, 3 (2012)[arXiv:1012.3982v5 [hep-th]]; K. Zoubos, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.2: Deformations, Orbifolds and Open Boundaries," Lett. Math. Phys. 99,1-3 (2012)[arXiv:1012.3998v4 [hep-th]]; C. Kristjansen, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability, Chapter IV.1: Aspects of Non-Planarity," [arXiv:1012.3997v4 [hep-th]].

[5] N. Beisert, C. Kristjansen and M. Staudacher, "The dilatation operator of N = 4 super Yang-Mills theory," Nucl. Phys. B 664, 131 (2003) [arXiv:0303060 [hep-th]].

[6] J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe ansatz for superconformal Chern-Simons," JHEP 0809, 040 (2008) [arXiv:0806.3951 [hep-th]]; D. Gaiotto, S. Giombi and X. Yin, "Spin Chains in N=6 Superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter Theory," [arXiv:0806.4589 [hep-th]]; D. Bak and S. J. Rey, "Integrable Spin Chain in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theory," JHEP 0810, 053 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2063 [hep-th]].

[7] S. Corley, A. Jevicki, S. Ramgoolam, "Exact Correlators of Giant Gravitons from dual N=4 SYM," Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 5 (2002) 809-839 [arXiv:hep-th/0111222v3 [hep-th]]; Y. Kimura, S. Ramgoolam, "Branes, Anti-Branes and Brauer Algebras in Gauge-Gravity

duality," JHEP 0711, 078 (2007) [arXiv:0709.2158v2 [hep-th]]; T.W. Brown, P.J. Heslop, S. Ramgoolam, "Diagonal multi-matrix correlators and BPS operators in N=4 SYM," JHEP 0802, 030 (2008) [arXiv:0711.0176v3 [hep-th]].

[8] R. Bhattacharyya, S. Collins and R. d. M. Koch, "Exact Multi-Matrix Correlators," JHEP 0803, 044 (2008) [arXiv:0801.2061 [hep-th]].

[9] R. Bhattacharyya, R. de Mello Koch and M. Stephanou, "Exact Multi-Restricted Schur Polynomial Correlators," [arXiv:0805.3025 [hep-th]].

[10] V. De Comarmond, R. de Mello Koch and K. Jeeries, "Surprisingly Simple Spectra," [arXiv:1012.3884v1 [hep-th]]; R. d. M. Koch, G. Mashile, N. Park, "Emergent Threebrane Lattices," [arXiv:1004.1108v1 [hep-th]].

[11] W. Carlson, R. d. M. Koch, H. Lin, "Nonplanar Integrability," JHEP 1103, 105 (2011). [arXiv:1101.5404 [hep-th]]; R. de Mello Koch, G. Kemp, S. Smith, "From Large N Nonplanar Anomalous Di- mensions to Open Spring Theory," [arXiv:1111.1058 [hep-th]]; R. d. M. Koch, M. Dessein, D. Giataganas, C. Mathwin, "Giant Graviton Oscilla- tors," [arXiv:1108.2761 [hep-th]]; R. d. M. Koch and S. Ramgoolam, "A double coset ansatz for integrability in AdS/CFT," [arXiv:1204.2153 [hep-th]].

[12] R. d. M. Koch, B. A. E. Mohammed and S. Smith, "Nonplanar Integrability: Beyond the SU(2) Sector," [arXiv:1106.2483 [hep-th]].

[13] R. de Mello Koch, P. Diaz and H. Soltanpanahi, "Non-planar Anomalous Dimensions in the sl(2) Sector," [arXiv:1111.6385 [hep-th]].

[14] R. d. M. Koch, G. Kemp, B. A. E. Mohammed, and S. Smith, "Nonplanar integrability at two loops," [arXiv:1206.0813v1[hep-th]].

[15] R. de Mello Koch, B. A. E. Mohammed, Jeff Murugan, Andrea Prinsloo, "Beyond the Planar limit in ABJM," [arXiv:1202.4925[hep-th]].

[16] C. Kristjansen, M. Orselli and K. Zoubos, "Non-planar ABJM Theory and Integrability," JHEP 0903, 037 (2009) [arXiv:0811.2150 [hep-th]].

[17] P. Caputa, C. Kristjansen and K. Zoubos, "Non-planar ABJ Theory and Parity," Phys. Lett. B 677, 197 (2009) [arXiv:0903.3354 [hep-th]].